

Blame It On The Victim, He's Black!

If White people can consider Black people as animals, slaves, and then attack Black people by calling them crazy while all the while doing crazy deeds themselves, then, them blaming you for their violations of public duties cannot be that far away. I have learned not to trust them myself. You can call me what you want. But, when a certain ilk of people destroy your life, and take away your rights and freedoms, then I think it is smart to not trust them. Now that, that is only my opinion. Let us hope that in whatever "reality" they function in, that they understand this idea that when you hurt people, they are not suppose to trust you, or tolerate you and your painful, not thought out carefully notion, or ideas

As I see it, the laws governing the benefits for officers injured as a direct result of inmate contact, as in the current situation surrounding the events on April 26, 1993 along with the laws, court rulings and opinions, that any and all attorneys should interpret the unambiguous language within the statutes, rulings and opinions the same way. Now, this is the issue, however does there exist a common thought processes that prevents them from correctly reading the language within the statutes. Does there exist a common belief in their religious background, ethnic upbringing, philosophical groupings, social order belief system, cult worship, secret societal, or fraternal organizational membership. Then again, it can be a combination of the many avenues which would lead to the undermining or misrepresentations of the laws.

What they do have in common is politics, they are educated in the law and government. The people responsible for the Helen Kemp letter are Caucasian and are the very same people that were responsible for the processing of Michael Cozzolino retirement papers. These same public officials reduced my benefits, contrary to the laws, court rulings, and Official Opinions of the Attorney General to the Office of the Comptroller. We know that the processing of the hazardous duty retirement applications, the process, was consistent with the laws at the time of the issuance of the opinions and the date of injury. Mr Cozzolino, as a matter of the Office of the Comptroller records, was receiving 5-142(a) benefits during the same time that the Office was in a plot with the Department of Corrections, Administrative Services, and Workers Compensation Commission along with other state agencies in what was/is regarded as an Intergovernmental Task Force.

We know that unfunded liabilities were not benefit limitations affecting similarly situated Caucasian injured officers such as Mr, Cozzolino. We know that both the law and court rulings along with Attorney General Opinions require that the Office of the Comptroller for the State of Connecticut is directly responsible for the payment of all payroll benefits as in the case of similarly situated injured corrections officer. We do know that members of the comptrollers office made arrangements with other members of the comptrollers office through what can be considered the SEBAC agreements of the 1990's and so on. In these agreements, the Rowland Administration and these public and union officials agreed to open up talks for same sex partner benefits in exchange for

the unfunded liabilities agreement. The homosexual movement within government agreed on behalf of the Office of the Comptroller not to process minority benefit's the same as they process Caucasian Benefits, this reduces artificially the cost of benefits to the government. The union official representing state corrections officers is Sal Luchiano, and he is Italian Catholic, he favors the the process of fellow catholic officers that are injured and qualify, However, they deny Black similarly situated injured corrections the same entitlement. This, at the recommendation of the Office of the Comptrollers' Attorney Helen Kemp, for Mark Ojakian who was involved in the unfunding of the disability compensation benefits for injured Black corrections officer, as it was his office that recommended the hazardous duty retirement of White Italian injured corrections officers similarly situated.

We also see that all payroll deductions were to be taken out of the salary of the injured workers was to be a function of the office of the comptrollers process. From administration to administration, we can see that these public officials either through religious belief, ethnic classification or sexual orientation have in common some factor not present within the law that causes them to fail in the performing of their duties. Whether this be a issue of malicious intent shared in their common beliefs or disposition, we can only measure their actions by what is stated to be done within the law. The fact that they maintained an outcome consistent with the law as it relates to White similarly situated injured corrections officers, and seem somehow impeded from performing the same process for the injured Black corrections officer similarly situated, racism, bigotry, biasness, and discrimination must be considered factors in these subversions of the law, as both White man and Black man should enjoy the "equal protections" of the laws.

So, we ask, logically. If those that came in agreement and subverted the laws are receiving same sex partner benefits, however won't process the benefits of Black people that should be receiving benefits for their partner or traditional family, is this also bias, bigotry, and discrimination. We often hear of same sex individuals being discriminated against, but how about when they victimize others with the same discriminations and bigotry or biasness they claim they fight against. In this, we ask also does the fact that Kevin Lembo as a member of this group, does he share the same biasness and discriminatory behavior as Mr. Ojakian and Mr. Lawlor, and refuse to be on opposite sides with them because they are protecting each other as being homosexuals. The law requires a different action than the ones they have undertaken, and that Mr. Lembo is supporting during this new administration.

Can we consider the political influences as the same party members or associates are the very same ones supporting his election. Does this influence make him beholding to them and not the laws. Is this not an example of those things spoken of. So, by what are the influences influenced by and so forth. As we follow the tread, we see a succession after succession of public officials carrying out policies that were in violation of the laws.

So, we ask, of what influence. If the action undertaken by these public officials, then what influences causes officials to undermined the law and its intent. In this instance, the law worked perfectly for one class of people and not the other. When the destruction of ones family life is a result of the discriminatory, or bias acts or inactions working in conjunction with each other, is it a matter of malicious intent on behalf of these public officials. Moreover, the court petitions and motions that were granted by

way of the “State” court system pre dated the injury and was a matter of salary deduction which could not have and should not have been discontinued without a order from the court. The claimant was never forwarded any such document nor was their such found as a matter of the Superior Court Docket Record. As the deductions were a matter of the law as it related to payroll deductions which was also a function of the Comptrollers Office. Then, given that the language in the Chapter 65 and 66 Statutes, mandates the process through the Office of the Comptroller, what should we gather from those mandates not being followed, as in not holding to the specific intent and language of the laws is a clear violation of the duties of the public official whom is sworn to carrying out the laws of this state and fulfilling those duties honorably in the law. However, when these fraudulent acts are undertaken in a conspiracy to commit fraud, this is nothing less than corrupted government. Once again, the question needs to be answered is corrupted by whom or what influences. The plaintiff in this matter has nothing to do with whatever forces or influences guide the decisions of these public officials. We only know that the actions undertaken by the public officials were not honorable to the laws of the united states constitution and the statutes and constitution of the state of Connecticut.

Are Institutionalized Racism, or Demonic Practices responsible, or should be considered as being responsible when determining the cause of actions and inactions undertaken by these public officials. As they knowingly did not perform their statutory required duties. Now, giving the past, is it not reasonable to think that this ilk of public officials would not then turn and blame the Black injured corrections officer that was the victim in this entire matter., and plot for “artificially” reduced benefits. Is it not reasonable for someone that has suffered the destruction of his family at the hands of these type of public official, not to be trusting of these types of people. In other words if a faction of White, Religious, Homosexual Public officials conspired to violate the laws, is it reasonable not to trust those type of people. I don’t trust those type of people. Given what they did in to injure me even further as it relates to my standard of living and other attempts to discredit my character, I see it as being the most reasonable deduction, not to trust anyone that has destroyed and attempts to destroy you. Along this line, I will continue with tolerance. Because no one should have to tolerate anyone that is violating their rights pursuant to the laws of this land. Especially, no one should have to tolerate being treated “unequal” because of this race, religion or views on life and its moralities. If this is a real traumatic event, these factors must also be considered in the determination of damages and post traumatic injuries that may cause long term loss of income. Blaming The Black Person should not be considered a determining factor in the mitigation of losses due to the violations undertaken by public officials. The corruption, stresses, and other causes which led to the violations by these public officials should be corrected within the confines of the laws. The defendants should be made to process the “elected” retirement date or come to agreement in favor of the best interest of the plaintiff and to the satisfaction of the plaintiff.

**Raw Material, WILL EDIT AND CONCLUDE
LATER, also see Tolerance**